A COMPARISON OF PARALLELIZATION STRATEGIES FOR THE MATERIAL POINT METHOD

K. P. Ruggirello¹, and S. C. Schumacher

¹ Sandia National Laboratories^{*} PO Box 5800 MS-0836 Albuquerque, NM 87185-0836 kruggir@sandia.gov

Key words: material point method, parallel, scaling

Recently the Lagrangian Material Point Method (MPM) [1] has been integrated into the Eulerian finite volume shock physics code CTH [2] at Sandia National Laboratories. CTH is a Eulerian finite volume shock physics code that has the capabilities of adaptive mesh refinement, multiple materials and various material models for equation of state, strength and failure. In order to parallelize the MPM in CTH two different approaches were tested. The first was a ghost marker concept, where the particles are mirrored onto neighboring processors in order to correctly assemble the mesh boundary values on the grid. The second approach exchanges the summed particle values at mesh boundaries without the use of ghost particles. Both methods have distinct advantages for parallelization. These parallelization approaches were tested for scaling where processor count, mesh blocks and marker counts are used to compare the two approaches. This paper will compare the parallel scaling efficiency, and memory requirements of both approaches for parallelizing the MPM.

REFERENCES

- Sulsky, Deborah, Chen Z. and Schreyer, Howard L., "A Particle Method for History-Dependent Materials," *Computational Methods Applied Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 118, pp. 179–196 (1994).
- [2] McGlaun, J. M., F. J. Zeigler and S. L. Thompson, CTH: A Three-Dimensional, Large Deformation, Shock Wave Physics Code, APS Topical Conference on Shock Waves in Condensed Matter, Monterey, CA, July 20-23, (1987).

^{*}Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.