3D CONTINUUM MODELS OF TENSEGRITY MODULES WITH THE EFFECT OF SELF-STRESS

Wojciech Gilewski¹, Andrzej Kasprzak²

¹ Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Building Engineering,
 Al. Armii Ludowej 16, 00-637 Warsaw, Poland, w.gilewski@il.pw.edu.pl
 ² Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Roads and Bridges,
 Al. Armii Ludowej 16, 00-637 Warsaw, Poland, epipodiusz@o2.pl

Key Words: Tensegrity, Continuum Mechanics, Finite Element Method, Self-Stress

The objective of the present paper is to describe the continuum model of the properties of tensegrity modules with the effect of self-stress included. 3D tensegrity modules with similar dimensions in each direction are considered. The term of tensegrity was introduced by Fuller (see [6] for historical details). There are several definitions of this concept [4]. For the purpose of this paper the tensegrity is defined as a pin-joined system with a particular configuration of cables and struts that form a statically indeterminate structure in a stable equilibrium. Infinitesimal mechanism should exist in a tensegrity with equivalent self-stress state. Major advantages of tensegrity are: large stiffness-to-mass ratio, deployability, reliability and controllability [4,6].

The continuum model of tensegrity modules should make possible to:

- Estimate properties of the module with typical deformation modes (tension, shear),
- Evaluate the influence of self-stress for the defined deformation,
- Evaluate the influence of cabels and struts for the properties of the module,

- Compare the elastic properties of typical tensegrity modules.

The above estimations can help to build complex tensegrity based beam- plate or shell- like structures ([5] for lattice models). The open problem is how to connect the modules together. *Discrete models*.

Discrete models of tensegrity modules are mathematically described with the use of the Finite

Element Method [7]. Strain energy is a quadratic form $E_s^{Tensegrity-FEM} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{q}$ of nodal

displacements **q** with the global linear and geometric stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_L + \mathbf{K}_G$ as a kernel. The self-stress state of the module (proportional to the tension force *S*) is represented by the geometric stiffness matrix.

Continuum model.

Symmetric linear 3D elasticity theory is considered. The strain energy can be expressed as $E_s^{Elasticity} = \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon^T \mathbf{E} \varepsilon dV$, where ε - is the strain vector, \mathbf{E} - is the elasticity matrix.

It is assumed in the proposed concept that the strain energy of not supported tensegrity is equivalent to the strain energy of the sphere (radius R) – Fig. 1 – with constant strains.

To compare the energies and build the equivalent matrix \mathbf{E} the nodal displacements are expressed by the average mid-values of displacements and their derivatives with the use of

Tayor series expansion. Co-ordinates of nodal points $\{\alpha_{xi} \cdot R, \alpha_{yi} \cdot R, \alpha_{zi} \cdot R, \}$ are expressed by the radius *R* with the increments $\Delta x = \alpha_{xi} \cdot R, \Delta y = \alpha_{yi} \cdot R, \Delta z = \alpha_{zi} \cdot R$ in Taylor series.



Figure 1. Tensegrity and continuum.

Elasticity tensor can be expressed in Voight's form $\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$ *i,j-1,2,...6*. There are 21 independent co-efficients for anisotropy. One of eight symmetries of the tensor \mathbf{E} can be expected with 13, 9, 6, 5, 3 or 2 independent co-efficients, respectivelu [1]. *Examples*

The proposed technique can be used for any tensegrity configuration. Some typical modules (3 and 4-strut Simplex, Expanded and Truncated Octahedron) are presented in Fig. 2.



Figure 2. Typical tensegrity modules.

As an example, the coefficients received for 4-strut Simplex module are presented below

$$e_{11} = e_{22} = \frac{EA}{\frac{4}{3}R^2} (3.8419 + 1.46386 \cdot k - 0.121763 \cdot \sigma),$$

$$e_{33} = \frac{EA}{\frac{4}{3}R^2} (2.31641 + 0.59533 \cdot k - 0.324703 \cdot \sigma),$$

$$e_{12} = e_{44} = \frac{EA}{\frac{4}{3}R^2} (1.28063 + 0.487953 \cdot k - 0.0405878 \cdot \sigma),$$

$$e_{13} = e_{55} = e_{66} = \frac{EA}{\frac{4}{3}R^2} (0.508845 + 0.76233 \cdot k + 0.162351 \cdot \sigma),$$

$$e_{14} = -e_{24} = \frac{EA}{\frac{4}{3}R^2} (0.055889 - 0.487953 \cdot k - 0.193724 \cdot \sigma),$$

$$e_{15} = e_{16} = e_{25} = e_{26} = e_{35} = e_{45} = e_{46} = e_{56} = 0,$$

$$e_{15} = EA, \ \sigma = \frac{S}{2} \cdot e_{16} = e_{25} - e_{26} = e_{35} = e_{36} = e_{45} = e_{46} = e_{56} = 0,$$

where $k = \frac{(EA)_{Strut}}{(EA)_{Cable}}$, $(EA)_{Cable} = EA$, $\sigma = \frac{S}{EA}$

Detailed discussion of the results for various tensegrity modules will be presented during the Conference with some reccomendations for beam-, plate- and shell-like tensegrity structures. **References**

- [1] Chadwick P., Vianello M., Covin S.C.: A new proof that the number of linear elastic symmetries is eight. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, v. 49, pp.2471-2492, 2001.
- [2] Green A.E., Zerna W.: Theoretical elasticity. Couver Dover Publications, 2002.
- [3] Kebiche K., Kazi Aoual M.N., Motro R.: Continuum models for systems with a self-stress state. Int. Journ. of Space Structures, v. 23, pp. 103-115, 2008.
- [4] Motro R.: Tensegrity structural systems for the future. Kogan Page, London 2003.
- [5] Noor A.K.: Continuum modeling for repetitive lattice structures. ASME Applied Mechanics Reviews. V. 41, pp. 285-296, 1988.
- [6] Skelton R.E., de Oliveira M.C.: Tensegrity systems. Springer, London 2009.
- [7] Zienkiewicz O.C., Taylor R.L.: The finite element method, Vol.1. The basis. Prentice Hall, New Jersey 2000.