## THERMOELASTICITY IN FGM SHELL STRUCTURES

P.A. Fotiu<sup>1</sup>, St. Kugler<sup>1</sup> and J. Murin<sup>2</sup>

 $^1$  Department of Applied and Numerical Mechanics, University of Applied Sciences Wiener Neustadt, Wiener Neustadt, Austria, kugler@fhwn.ac.at, fotiu@fhwn.ac.at and www.fhwn.at

<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Mechanics and Mechatronics, FEI, Slovak University of Technology <sup>in</sup> Bratislava, Slovakia, justin.murin@stuba.sk and www.stuba.sk

Key words: Thermo-Elasticty, Shell Structures, Effective Quantities.

An efficient low order shell formulation is presented for analyzing thermo-elastic effects in shell structures made of Functionally Graded Materials (FGM), where material properties show an arbitrary continuous distribution. The discretization of the mid-surface of a curved shell geometry of thickness h leads to possibly warped element geometries. In case of non-symmetric variations of Young's modulus E with respect to the geometric mid-plane ( $\hat{z} = 0$ ), where  $\hat{z}$  denotes the thickness direction, the corresponding nodes are projected to a mechanical neutral plane, where bending and membrane properties decouple. The offset of this neutral plane from the geometrical mid-surface reads

$$\overline{z} = \frac{1}{\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} E(\hat{z}) d\hat{z}} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} E(\hat{z}) \hat{z} d\hat{z}.$$
(1)

From this warped element configuration we extract a plane element configuration as discussed in [1] in order to derive a plate element independent from a membrane bending element, where the frequently missing drilling rotations are included based on a recently proposed functional [2]. The details of the corresponding element formulation is given in [1] where effective elastic quantities are introduced. The effective moduli for membrane and bending read

$$E_m = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h/2-\overline{z}}^{h/2-\overline{z}} E(\hat{z}') d\hat{z}' , \quad E_b = \frac{12}{h^3} \int_{-h/2-\overline{z}}^{h/2-\overline{z}} E(\hat{z}') \hat{z}'^2 d\hat{z}', \quad (2)$$

while the shear correction factor is

$$\alpha_s = \left(\frac{144}{E_b h^5} \int_{-h/2-\overline{z}}^{h/2-\overline{z}} \frac{1}{E(\hat{z}')} \left[ \int_{\hat{z}'}^{h/2-\overline{z}} E(\zeta)\zeta d\zeta \right]^2 d\hat{z}' \right)^{-1}.$$
(3)

The weak one way coupling to introduce thermo-elastic effects is based on the virtual work principle which reads in the absence of mechanical loads  $\delta \Pi = \int (\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} dV = 0$ .



Figure 1: FGM thermo-elastic fin

Here  $\delta$  denotes the variation symbol, the strain field omitting the shear terms<sup>1</sup> reads  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = [\varepsilon_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \varepsilon_{\hat{y}\hat{y}}]^T$  while the corresponding stresses are  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = [\sigma_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \sigma_{\hat{y}\hat{y}}]^T$ . Introducing a thermoelastic constitutive equation for isotropic materials, i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \\ \sigma_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E(\hat{z})}{1-\nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \\ \varepsilon_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{E(\hat{z})\alpha(\hat{z})\Delta T(\hat{z})}{1-\nu} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
(4)

with  $\alpha(\hat{z})$  referring to the thermal expansion coefficient and  $\Delta T(\hat{z})$  denoting temperature elevations which may depend on the thickness coordinate. Introducing (4) into the virtual work term gives  $\int (\delta \varepsilon)^T \frac{E(\hat{z})}{1-\nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \nu \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \varepsilon dV - \int (\delta \varepsilon)^T \frac{E(\hat{z})\alpha(\hat{z})\Delta T(\hat{z})}{1-\nu} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} dV$ , where the left hand side leads to the finite element formulation discussed in [1], while the right hand side refers to internal forces and couples caused by thermal expansion. Those internal forces and couples are proportional to  $f = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{E(\hat{z})\alpha(\hat{z})\Delta T(\hat{z})}{1-\nu} d\hat{z}$  and  $m = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \hat{z} \frac{E(\hat{z})\alpha(\hat{z})\Delta T(\hat{z})}{1-\nu} d\hat{z}$ , while the integration with respect to the membrane directions can be evaluated analytically. Consider a fin with a rectangular cross section made of a FGM with linear distributions of all relevant properties according to Fig. 1. The fin is loaded with a mean temperature at x = 0 while convection is applied on the top and bottom surface with corresponding convection coefficients  $h_{ct}$  and  $h_{cb}$  and fluid temperatures of  $T_{Bt}$  and  $T_{Bb}$ . A suitable procedure to calculate the temperature field is discussed in [3]. The evaluated displacements at x = L are compared to an ANSYS continuum solution indicating good accuracy of the proposed algorithm ( $u_x = 0.0987$  error: 1.5%;  $u_z = -0.6782$  error: 0.5%).

Acknowledgement: This paper has been supported by Grant Agency VEGA - Project Number: 1/0534/12, and by APVV-0246-12.

## REFERENCES

- [1] St. Kugler and P.A. Fotiu and J. Murin, "The Numerical Analysis of FGM Shells with Enhanced Finite Elements", Engineering Structures 49 (2013), pp. 920-935.
- [2] St. Kugler and P.A. Fotiu and J. Murin, "A highly efficient membrane finite element with drilling degrees of freedom", Acta Mechanica 213 (2010), pp. 323-348.
- [3] St. Kugler and P.A. Fotiu and J. Murin, "Thermal conduction in FGM and MLC shell structures", Proceeding at this conference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The shear terms may be omitted since temperature elevations cause only normal strains.