## THERMAL CONDUCTION IN FGM AND MLC SHELL STRUCTURES

## St. Kugler<sup>1</sup>, P.A. Fotiu<sup>1</sup> and J. Murin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied and Numerical Mechanics, University of Applied Sciences Wiener Neustadt, Wiener Neustadt, Austria, kugler@fhwn.ac.at, fotiu@fhwn.ac.at and www.fhwn.at
<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Mechanics and Mechatronics, FEI, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Slovakia, murin@stuba.sk and www.stuba.sk

Key words: Thermal Conduction, Shell Structures, Transverse Temperature Field.

Shell structures made of Functionally Graded Materials (FGM) or Multi - Layer - Composites (MLC) show arbitrary continuous or discontinuous variations of material properties. This work focuses on the efficient evaluation of temperature distributions in such structures (see e.g. [1]). Consider a curved FGM or MLC shell geometry of thickness h where the geometrical mid-surface is discretized with four noded shell elements. We assume that the thermal conductivity k is element-wise constant in the membrane directions  $\hat{x} - \hat{y}$  and varies arbitrary in transverse direction  $\hat{z}$ . A convection boundary condition is applied on the bottom (b) and the top (t) surface of the shell structure, with  $h_{ct}$  and  $h_{cb}$  denoting the convection coefficients on the top and bottom surface, while  $T_{Bt}$  and  $T_{Bb}$  refers to the corresponding fluid temperatures. It is a main issue of this paper that the temperature field  $T(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$  is evaluated using a decomposition according to

$$T(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = T(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \theta_1(\hat{z}) = T(\hat{x}, \hat{y})\theta_2(\hat{z}).$$
(1)

There,  $\overline{T}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  denotes the mean temperature while  $\theta_1(\hat{z})$  and  $\theta_2(\hat{z})$  represent the unknown temperature distribution in transverse direction. The solution strategy is iterative while each iteration consist of two steps: Within the first step we evaluate the mean temperature field  $\overline{T}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{h} \int_h T(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) d\hat{z}$  in membrane direction, while within the second step the temperature distribution in transverse direction  $\theta_1(\hat{z})$  and  $\theta_2(\hat{z})$  based on a mean temperature  $\overline{T}$  is estimated. An iterative procedure is required since the shell's surface temperatures  $T(\hat{z} = \pm \frac{h}{2})$  defining convection are not known within the first step. The framework to calculate the mean temperature field is not included here since it is rather classical using a standard finite element approach. Once the mean temperature  $\overline{T}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  is evaluated at every point of the shell's structure, the temperature distribution with respect to the thickness direction is calculated next. Thereby, we analyze a one-dimensional problem in transverse direction with the strong form of

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{z}}\left(k(\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z})\frac{d}{d\hat{z}}\theta_1(\hat{z})\right) + Kk(\hat{z}) = 0 , \qquad (2)$$



Figure 1: Rectangular MLC fin

$$\hat{z} = \frac{h}{2}: -k(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} = \frac{h}{2}) \left. \frac{d\theta_1(\hat{z})}{d\hat{z}} \right|_{\hat{z} = \frac{h}{2}} - h_{ct} \left( \bar{T}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \theta_1(\hat{z} = -\frac{h}{2}) - T_{Bt} \right) = 0 , \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{z} = -\frac{h}{2}: -k(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} = -\frac{h}{2}) \left. \frac{d\theta_1(\hat{z})}{d\hat{z}} \right|_{\hat{z} = -\frac{h}{2}} - h_{ct} \left( \bar{T}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \theta_1(\hat{z} = -\frac{h}{2}) - T_{Bb} \right) = 0 , \quad (4)$$

$$\int_{h} \theta_1(\hat{z}) \, d\hat{z} = 0 \ . \tag{5}$$

There, a crucial step is the introduction of  $Kk(\hat{z})$  in (2) where K denotes a constant. Only the inclusion of this term (missing in [1]) leads to accurate results. The problem (2) - (5) is solved using a discretization with n linear 1D elements of length  $\frac{h}{n}$ . In order to show the good predictive quality of the proposed algorithm a rectangular MLC fin of Fig. 1 is analyzed. In Configuration 1 highly conductive layers are placed on the outside while nearly isolating layers are around the mid-surface. In Configuration 2 the order of the layers has changed, however, both configurations have the same mean value of thermal conductivity. Fig. 1 shows a comparison of the present approach compared to continuum solutions evaluated in ANSYS. All results indicate high accuracy.

Acknowledgement: This paper has been supported by Grant Agency VEGA - Project Number: 1/0534/12, and by APVV-0246-12.

## REFERENCES

 R. Naghdabadi and S.A. Hosseini Kordkheili, "A finite element formulation for analysis of functionally graded plates and shells", Archive of applied mechanics 74 (2005), pp. 375-386.