

## AUTOMATIC VERIFICATION OF ALGORITHMICALLY DIFFERENTIATED CODE

C. Paulin<sup>1,\*</sup>, S. Bourasseau<sup>2</sup> and C. Content<sup>3</sup>

DAAA, ONERA, Université Paris Saclay  
F-92322 Châtillon - France,

<sup>1</sup>christina.paulin@onera.fr <sup>2</sup>sebastien.bourasseau@onera.fr <sup>3</sup>cedric.content@onera.fr

**Keywords:** *Code verification, duality test, algorithmic differentiation, source transformation.*

Algorithmic differentiation (AD) has become indispensable for several CFD codes. The present work introduces an automatic verification toolbox. There are several available verification strategies for AD code, such as comparison with finite differences (as seen in [1]) and duality tests, which are nowadays executed with “hand calculations” or semi-automatic scripts. The goal of the present work is to improve global automation by introducing a fully automatic verification step that checks AD code (tangent and adjoint modes) directly after its generation.

There are two different AD approaches known as Source Transformation (ST) and Operator Overload (OO). While OO is considered as an appropriate choice in the context of object oriented definitions [2, 3], ST has been proven more efficient for recent HPC applications for adjoint computations [4, 5]. Hence, we focus on the ST-based AD tool Tapenade for tangent and adjoint source code generation, which we automate similarly to [6]. In this talk we present state of the art approaches for verification strategies (as mentioned above), which are of great interest in the context of optimisation problems such as shape optimization in aerodynamics applications [7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bourasseau, Contribution à une méthode de raffinement de maillage basée sur le vecteur adjoint pour le calcul de fonctions aérodynamiques. PhD diss. *Université Nice Sophia Antipolis*, 2015.
- [2] K.A. Lie, An introduction to reservoir simulation using MATLAB/GNU Octave: User guide for the MATLAB Reservoir Simulation Toolbox (MRST). *Cambridge University Press*, 2019.
- [3] M. Sagebaum, and T. Albring, and N. Gauger, High-performance derivative computations using codipack. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)*, Vol. 4, pp. 1–26, 2019.
- [4] J.I. Cardesa, and L. Hascét, and C. Airiau, Adjoint computations by algorithmic differentiation of a parallel solver for time-dependent PDEs. *Journal of Computational Science*, Vol. 45, p. 101155, 2020.
- [5] G.K. Kenway, and C.A. Mader, and P. He, and J.R. Martins, Effective adjoint approaches for computational fluid dynamics. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, Vol. 110, pp. 100542, 2019.
- [6] Z. Dastouri, and S.M. Gezgin, and U. Naumann, A mixed operator overloading and source transformation approach for adjoint cfd computation. *Proceedings of ECCOMAS Congress*, 2016.
- [7] M. Meheut, Multidisciplinary Adjoint-based Optimizations in the MADELEINE Project: Overview and Main Results. *AIAA AVIATION 2021 FORUM*, 2021.