

Unified treatment of some different fabrication-cost functions in truss topology optimization

Yoshihiro Kanno ^{*}, Makoto Ohsaki ^a, James K. Guest ^b

^{*} Mathematics and Informatics Center, The University of Tokyo
Hongo 7-3-1, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan
kanno@mist.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp

^a Department of Architecture and Architectural Engineering, Kyoto University

^b Department of Civil Engineering, Johns Hopkins University

Abstract

In truss topology optimization based on the ground structure approach, recent work has proposed including the fabrication cost of a truss in the problem formulation and assumed this cost to be proportional to either the number of members or the number of nodes (connections). A major difficulty in considering such a cost function in truss topology optimization is that the number of nonzero entries of a vector is not a differentiable function, and hence we cannot apply a gradient-based optimization method in a direct manner. As a remedy, Asadpoure *et al.* [1] proposed to use a regularized Heaviside function. Also, Kanno and Fujita [2] proposed to use an alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM).

In this paper, we introduce the ℓ_p -norm constraint on the vector of degrees of nodes to handle some different fabrication-cost functions in a unified manner. The presented formulation includes the cost functions proportional to the number of members [1] and the number of nodes [2, 3] as two particular cases. Another interesting, and more realistic, case is that the cost of a node is assumed to increase dramatically as the degree of a node increases.

We incorporate the presented constraint in a straightforward manner into a *mixed-integer second-order cone programming* (MISOCP) formulation for truss topology optimization considering the self-weight load [4]. We present numerical examples to illustrate how the difference in the fabrication-cost function affects optimal truss designs.

References

- [1] A. Asadpoure, J. K. Guest, and L. Valdevit, “Incorporating fabrication cost into topology optimization of discrete structures and lattices,” *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, vol. 51, pp. 385–396, 2015.
- [2] Y. Kanno and S. Fujita, “Alternating direction method of multipliers for truss topology optimization with limited number of nodes: a cardinality-constrained second-order cone programming approach,” *Optimization and Engineering*, vol. 19, pp. 327–358, 2018.
- [3] M. Ohsaki, “Genetic algorithm for topology optimization of trusses,” *Computers and Structures*, vol. 57, pp. 219–225, 1995.
- [4] Y. Kanno and H. Yamada: “A note on truss topology optimization under self-weight load: mixed-integer second-order cone programming approach,” *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, vol. 56, pp. 221–226, 2017.