

# An Improvement on the Coupling of Particle Suspensions using the Lattice Boltzmann and Discrete Element Methods with Immersed Moving Boundaries

Duo Wang<sup>\*‡</sup>, Christopher Leonardi<sup>†‡</sup> and Saeed Aminossadati<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mechanical and Mining Engineering, The University of Queensland  
The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia  
email: d.wang3@uq.edu.au, c.leonardi@uq.edu.au, s.aminossadati@uq.edu.au

<sup>†</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA  
email: chrisleo@mit.edu

## ABSTRACT

Past studies have shown that the drag predictions of the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) exhibit viscosity-dependence when used with certain boundary conditions such as the bounce-back and interpolated bounce-back schemes. This is most pronounced when the single-relaxation-time (SRT), Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) form of the LBM collision operator is employed. The work of Pan et al. [1] showed that, when flow through a porous medium was simulated, the predicted permeability was found to change with the computational viscosity (which is calculated solely from the BGK relaxation parameter,  $\tau$ ). This viscosity-dependence presents an issue for a range of coupled fluid-solid models based on the LBM, in particular particle suspensions.

The problem of viscosity-dependence in the BGK-LBM can be addressed in a number of ways. Using a relaxation parameter of unity, which results in a computational viscosity of 1/6, eliminates the error [2] but places an undesirable constraint on the LBM timestep. The use of a two- (TRT) or multiple-relaxation-time (MRT) collision operator, in conjunction with higher-order boundary conditions such as quadratically-interpolated or multi-reflection bounce-back, can significantly reduce the error [1]. However, this introduces non-local computations.

In this work, the MRT-LBM is implemented in conjunction with an immersed moving boundary (IMB) method [3] for hydrodynamic coupling in an attempt to address the viscosity dependence problem. A range of formulations of the IMB are tested, and a number of improvements to the solid weighting function,  $\beta$ , are investigated and discussed. By reducing viscosity-dependence, without constraining the relaxation parameter,  $\tau$ , an important degree of flexibility surrounding the coupling of the LBM and DEM timesteps is maintained [4].

Results for single and multiple particle test cases are presented, highlighting the improvement of the developed coupling strategy over BGK-LBM results. Performance of the model in the numerical rheometry of dense particle suspensions is also discussed.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Pan, L-S. Luo and C. T. Miller, An evaluation of lattice Boltzmann schemes for porous medium flow simulation, *Computers & Fluids*, 35(8-9), 898-909, (2006).
- [2] R. Verberg and A. J. C. Ladd, Accuracy and stability of a lattice-Boltzmann model with subgrid scale boundary conditions, *Physical Review E*, 65(1), 016701, (2001).
- [3] D. R. Noble and J. R. Torczynski, A lattice-Boltzmann method for partially saturated computational cells, *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 9(08), 1189-1201, (1998).
- [4] D. R. J. Owen, C. R. Leonardi and Y. T. Feng, An efficient framework for fluid-structure interaction using the lattice Boltzmann method and immersed moving boundaries, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 87(1-5), 66-95 (2011).