

Comparison of Parapatellar and Transpatellar Approaches in Lateral Meniscal Allograft Transplantation Using Finite Element Analysis

Kyoung-Tak Kang^{1*} and Heoung-Jae Chun¹

¹ School of Mechanical Engineering, Yonsei University, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, South Korea, tagi1024@gmail.com and <http://isid.yonsei.ac.kr>

Key Words: *Meniscal Allograft Transplantation, Finite Element Analysis, Parapatellar, Transpatellar, Chondroprotection.*

Background: Lateral meniscal allograft transplantation (MAT) using a parapatellar approach has been widely performed to treat meniscectomized knees. However, inserting the meniscal allograft in an anatomically correct position is not always possible using the parapatellar approach.

Purpose/Hypothesis: To investigate the associations of extrusion with the position of the grafted meniscus by three-dimensional (3D) analysis, the contact pressure and area on grafted menisci and stress patterns on the articular surface of the knee joint were estimated using finite element (FE) analysis. Outcomes were compared between parapatellar and transpatellar approaches in lateral MAT. We hypothesized that correct positioning of the meniscal allograft would differ between approaches, and that loading on the femoral and tibial articular cartilage may be altered depending on the position of the grafted meniscus.

Study Design: Cohort study; Level of evidence 3.

Methods: Biomechanical data from patients who underwent MRI evaluation after lateral MAT were used as baseline input for 3D and FE analyses. Patients were divided into parapatellar (25 patients) and transpatellar groups (20 patients) according to surgical approach.

Results: The parameters of the grafted meniscus including relative percentage of extrusion (RPE), angle between bony bridge and center of tibial plateau, and distance from entry point of the bony bridge to the center of the tibial plateau significantly differed between groups ($P < .001$). RPE was significantly correlated with the grafted meniscus parameters only in the parapatellar group ($P = .014$ and $P = .025$, respectively). In FE analysis, maximum contact areas and pressures of lateral grafted and medial menisci, as well as compression stress and Tresca stress, were more similar to the intact knee model in the transpatellar group after lateral MAT.

Conclusions: Compared to the parapatellar approach, the transpatellar approach achieved more anatomically correct positioning of the grafted meniscus with less development of meniscal extrusion, lower contact pressure on menisci, and lower maximum shear stress and compressive stress on the femoral and tibial articular surface. Therefore, the transpatellar approach provided a greater chondroprotective effect leading to reduced overall risk of degenerative osteoarthritis after lateral MAT.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.R. Bao, D. Zhu, H. Gong and G.S. Gu, The effect of complete radial lateral meniscus posterior root tear on the knee contact mechanics: a finite element analysis. *J Orthop Sci.*, Vol. **18**, pp. 256-263, 2013
- [2] M.Z. Bendjaballah, A. Shirazi-Adl and D.J. Zukor, Biomechanical response of the passive human knee joint under anterior-posterior forces. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, Vol. **13**, pp. 625-633, 1998
- [3] M.I. Chen, T.P. Branch and W.C. Hutton, Is it important to secure the horns during lateral meniscal transplantation? A cadaveric study. *Arthroscopy.*, Vol. **12**, pp. 174-181, 1996
- [4] N.H. Choi, S.Y. Yoo and B.N. Victoroff, Position of the bony bridge of lateral meniscal transplants can affect meniscal extrusion. *Am J Sports Med.*, Vol. **39**, pp. 1955-1959, 2011
- [5] C.R. Costa, W.B. Morrison and J.A. Carrino, Medial meniscus extrusion on knee MRI: is extent associated with severity of degeneration or type of tear? *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, Vol. **183**, pp. 17-23, 2004
- [6] Y.Y. Dhaher, T.H. Kwon and M. Barry, The effect of connective tissue material uncertainties on knee joint mechanics under isolated loading conditions. *J Biomech.*, Vol. **43**, pp. 3118-3125, 2010
- [7] T.L. Donahue, M.L. Hull and S.M. Howell, New algorithm for selecting meniscal allografts that best match the size and shape of the damaged meniscus. *J Orthop Res.*, Vol. **24**, pp. 1535-1543, 2006
- [8] A.W. Eberhardt, J.L. Lewis and L.M. Keer, Contact of layered elastic spheres as a model of joint contact: effect of tangential load and friction. *J Biomech Eng.*, Vol. **113**, pp. 107-108, 1991
- [9] T. Fukubayashi and H. Kurosawa, The contact area and pressure distribution pattern of the knee. A study of normal and osteoarthrotic knee joints. *Acta Orthop Scand.*, Vol. **51**, pp. 871-879, 1980
- [10] D.R. Gale, C.E. Chaisson, S.M. Totterman, R.K. Schwartz, M.E. Gale and D. Felson, Meniscal subluxation: association with osteoarthritis and joint space narrowing. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, Vol. **7**, pp. 526-532, 1999
- [11] G. Gonzalez-Lucena, P.E. Gelber, X. Pelfort, M. Tey and J.C. Monllau. Meniscal allograft transplantation without bone blocks: a 5- to 8-year follow-up of 33 patients. *Arthroscopy*, Vol. **26**, pp. 1633-1640, 2010
- [12] J.K. Ha, J.C. Shim, D.W. Kim, Y.S. Lee, H.J. Ra and J.G. Kim, Relationship between meniscal extrusion and various clinical findings after meniscus allograft transplantation. *Am J Sports Med.*, Vol. **38**, pp. 2448-2455, 2010
- [13] K.W. Harper, C.A. Helms and H.S. Lambert, L.D. Higgins 3rd, Radial meniscal tears: significance, incidence, and MR appearance. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, Vol. **185**, pp. 1429-1434, 2005
- [14] T.L. Haut Donahue, M. Hull, M.M. Rashid, C.R. Jacobs, How the stiffness of meniscal attachments and meniscal material properties affect tibio-femoral contact pressure computed using a validated finite element model of the human knee joint. *J Biomech.*, Vol. **36**, pp. 19-34, 2003
- [15] J.C. Ihn, S.J. Kim and I.H. Park. In vitro study of contact area and pressure distribution in the human knee after partial and total meniscectomy. *Int Orthop.*, Vol. **17**, pp. 214-218, 1993