

THE EFFECT OF SEQUENTIAL SOLUTION SCHEMES IN THE NUMERICAL MODELING OF SHEAR STIMULATION IN AN ENGINEERED GEOTHERMAL SYSTEM WELL

Justin Pogacnik^{1*}, Sharad Kelkar², Rob Podgorney³, David Dempsey², Mike O’Sullivan¹, and John O’Sullivan¹

¹ Department of Engineering Science, University of Auckland, 70 Symonds St, Auckland 1010, New Zealand, j.pogacnik@auckland.ac.nz

² Los Alamos National Laboratories, P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545, kelkar@lanl.gov

³ Idaho National Laboratories, P.O. Box 1625/MS 2107 Idaho Falls, ID 83415, robert.podgorney@inl.gov

Key words: *Engineered Geothermal Systems, Coupled Multiphysics Problems, Permeability Enhancement, Finite Element Method.*

In geothermal energy production, reservoir permeability exhibits various degrees of enhancement or degradation with time. These changes are generally attributed to various multiphysics processes such as chemical alteration (dissolution and precipitation), thermal and poroelastic deformation of fractures or the rock matrix, or inelastic failures such as hydrofracking or hydroshearing. If permeability is dependent upon the deformation state of the solid matrix, then a strong feedback is present in the governing differential equations. Few codes are equipped to handle the fully-coupled Thermal-Hydrological-Mechanical (THM) problems in geothermal reservoir simulation. Therefore, separate codes equipped to handle separate differential equations are often loosely coupled to model THM processes.

While previous efforts have investigated numerical coupling procedures in geochemical transport [2], it is not clear what degree of numerical coupling is required to accurately capture the feedback required for permeability enhancement phenomena. In this work, we compare various levels of coupling for modeling Engineered Geothermal System (EGS) well stimulation. Specifically, we address a flow/stress feedback whereby injection of cold water induces thermal and poroelastic stresses resulting in the hydroshearing of sub-grid scale fractures and an increase in permeability [1]. The simulations are performed using FEHM [3], a control-volume finite element THM code that allows for various levels of coupling. Coupled THM modeling is gaining momentum in the geothermal energy sector; a robust analysis of the numerical coupling issues discussed here is imperative in understanding the potential and limitations of this growing field.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Dempsey, S. Kelkar, K. Lewis, S. Hickman, N. Davatzes, D. Moos and E. Zemach. *Modeling Shear Stimulation of the Desert Peak EGS Well 27-15 Using a Coupled Thermal-Hydrological-Mechanical Simulator*. 47th US Rock Mechanics/Geomechanics Symposium, San Francisco, CA. 23-26 June, 2013.
- [2] G. Yeh and V. Tripathi. A Critical-Evaluation of Recent Developments in Hydrogeochemical Transport Models of Reactive Multichemical Components. *Water Resources Research*. Vol. **25(1)**: 93-108, 1989.
- [3] G. Zyvoloski. FEHM: A Control Volume Finite Element Code for Simulating Subsurface Multi-Phase Multi-Fluid Heat and Mass Transfer. *Los Alamos National Laboratory Document LAUR-07-3359*. Los Alamos, NM, 2007.