

## ASSESSMENT OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

**G. De Roeck<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> KU Leuven, Department of Civil Engineering, Structural Mechanics, Kasteelpark Arenberg 40,  
3001 Leuven, guido.deroeck@bwk.kuleuven.be, bwk.kuleuven.be/bwm

**Key Words:** *FE-updating, modal analysis, boundary conditions, non-linear behaviour.*

Dynamic analysis is often based on modal superposition. Natural frequencies and mode shapes are calculated by FEA. Uncertain model parameters are material properties, boundary conditions, connections, stiffness contributions of non-structural parts ... Epistemic uncertainties can be reduced by FE-updating based on measured modal properties, often extracted by operational modal analysis.

Recent measurement campaigns reveal that supports designed to allow structural dilation (e.g. pot bearings for bridges) show a quite different behaviour during an operational modal analysis. This is due to the non-linear behaviour of these bearings acting differently during a small-amplitude vibration test and a large-amplitude static test or thermal expansion. This poses the problem of a correct assessment of the small-amplitude dynamic stiffness of bearings when analysing the serviceability of structures, e.g. the expected human-induced vibration levels of lively footbridges or the accelerations due to passing trains on bridges.

Soil-structure interaction can be another reason why static support conditions as adopted in the design phase differ from dynamic.

This amplitude dependent behaviour of bearings and/or soil-structure interaction effects jeopardize also the simultaneous use of static and dynamic measurement results for FE-updating.

The paper will illustrate the difficulty of assessment of boundary conditions for dynamic analysis of a number of bridges where recent measurement results are available, e.g. a viaduct in Calatayud, a footbridge in Brussels and a footbridge in Sevilla.