Modelling of Thermoplastic PolyOlefin (TPO) sheets for thermoforming applications
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Abstract. Thermoplastic Polyolefin (TPO) materials have proven to be of great interest to automotive applications. The mechanical characteristics of these materials can help to implement solutions to respond to environmental and economical regulatory constraints of the last decade. In fact, beyond their low cost and high degree of recyclability, they allow important light weighting, excellent design flexibility, and high quality whether in terms of visual, tactile and olfactory perceptions. The aim of this study is to model the behaviour of new TPO sheets for thermoforming applications. The studied material can reach very high stretch ranges (up to 800%) and is found to be transversely isotropic. In order to properly predict the thickness distribution of the final thermoformed parts, uniaxial tensile tests were performed along the longitudinal, transverse and diagonal directions, at 5 different temperatures from room temperature to 120°C. A new transversely isotropic hyperelastic model is developed using User Subroutines in Abaqus software. The material parameters at each temperature have been identified using inverse methods, and good results have been obtained. The identification procedure has shown to be difficult because of the high sensitivity of the material parameters and the instability problems at high stretch ranges. This paper focuses on the fitting of the material parameters using the new anisotropic hyperelastic model which have proved to be very flexible and efficient to model different hyperelastic response shapes. On the other hand, the contribution of each material parameter and its effects on the mechanical behaviour has been studied for a faster and a more stable result of the fitting procedure.

1 INTRODUCTION

Several economic and environmental constraints led the automotive industry to develop new materials and new manufacturing processes. The final parts must meet both the high
quality and the low cost requirement. To satisfy these antinomic requirements, new materials like Thermoplastic PolyOlefin sheets were developed and their mechanical properties improved. Generally combined to Polypropylene foams to form a multilayered material that offers a good compromise between several objectives like low cost and high quality. On the other hand, these materials has shown to be adequate for thermoforming applications given that very high stretch ranges can be reached (up to 800 %) and a good thickness repartition of the final part is obtained. In addition, TPO materials offer an important weight gain compared to other materials. All these characteristics led the automotive industry to adopt these materials to manufacture cockpits and door panels for medium and premium car segment. These materials also gave automakers strong commercial and environmental arguments as the colour customization possibilities and the very good recyclability, two highly growing requirements and purchase incentives since years.

When modelling thermoforming applications, hyperelastic constitutive laws are the most adequate to predict the final thickness distribution. This thickness distribution is generally regarded as an important quality criterion of thermoformed parts. Based on the response shape, the stretch ranges and the experimental data, one must accurately choose one of the several existing hyperelastic models [1,4]. Furthermore, in our case, the sheet manufacturing process of the material induces a noticeable anisotropy which cannot be taken into account with classical existing hyperelastic models. This anisotropic hyperelastic problem is often addressed in biomechanical applications when it comes to soft tissues modelling, and reinforced composites materials. Thus, several anisotropic hyperelastic models have been developed [5,8], to deal with anisotropy problems. The particular case of transverse isotropy is more often used when dealing with calendered plastic sheets. In fact, the growing use of fibrous reinforced materials led authors to develop new transversely hyperelastic models.

In our previous works [9], we used a pseudo fibers approach, to model the incompressible transversely anisotropic hyperelastic behavior of a TPO material with a simple model and we faced some instability and accuracy problems during calculations. We concluded that the most efficient way is to decompose the energy potential into an isotropic part and an anisotropic part which represent the pseudo fibers contribution. The material is then considered as an isotropic hyperelastic matrix and a transversely isotropic reinforcement. The method is very efficient and allows to properly predicting the material behavior in several fibers orientations, only if the material parameters are accurately identified.

In this work, material parameter identification at several temperature and orientation is focused on. A new simple transversely isotropic hyperelastic model is used and the results are very convincing whether in terms of accuracy or stability. In the second section, isotropic and transversely isotropic hyperelasticity constitutive equations are presented. In section 3 the used model is briefly described. Finally, the experimental data and the identification results are presented.

2 CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS FOR HYPERELASTICITY

4.1 Isotropic hyperelasticity

Hyperelastic behaviour is described in terms of a strain energy density potential \( W \), which must satisfy some trivial conditions like the objectivity and the state free conditions. \( W \) can be written as a function of principal stretches or in terms of the strain invariants. Let \( x \) be the
current position e.g. the spatial coordinates and X the reference position e.g. the material
coordinates of the same particle. The deformation gradient tensor $F$ is defined by:

$$ F = \frac{\partial x}{\partial X} $$ (1)

The total volume change $J$ is found to be:

$$ J = \det(F) $$ (2)

To handle the incompressibility in a straightforward manner, we need to define the
isochoric deformation gradient tensor $\bar{F}$ as:

$$ \bar{F} = J^{-\frac{1}{3}}F $$ (3)

As Abaqus user subroutines require the Cauchy stress, the appropriated strain measure for
the formulation of the constitutive model is the left Cauchy stress tensor $B$ is used. The
isochoric part of $B$ is defined by:

$$ \bar{B} = \bar{F}.\bar{F}' $$ (4)

The invariants of the left Cauchy-Green strain tensor and their relations to the principal
stretches are recalled hereafter:

$$ \begin{align*}
\bar{I}_1 &= tr(\bar{B}) \\
\bar{I}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}[tr(\bar{B})^2 - tr(\bar{B}^2)] \\
\bar{I}_3 &= \det(\bar{B})
\end{align*} $$ (5)

And

$$ \begin{align*}
\bar{I}_1 &= \bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \\
\bar{I}_2 &= \bar{\lambda}_2.\bar{\lambda}_3 + \bar{\lambda}_3.\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_1.\bar{\lambda}_2 \\
\bar{I}_3 &= \bar{\lambda}_1.\bar{\lambda}_2.\bar{\lambda}_3
\end{align*} $$ (6)

For an isotropic compressible material, the strain energy potential $W$ can be written as
function of the strain invariants $\bar{I}_i$ or the principal stretches $\bar{\lambda}_i$ and $J$. For commodity sake, it is
split into an isochoric part $\bar{W}$ and a volumetric part :

$$ W(\bar{I}, J) = \bar{W}(\bar{I}, J) + U(J) = \bar{W}(\bar{\lambda}) + U(J) $$ (7)

The Cauchy stress is decomposed into the deviatoric stress $s$ and the equivalent pressure
$p$ as:

$$ \sigma = s - p I $$ (8)

$I$ is the unit second order tensor. The deviatoric part of $\sigma$ is derived from the isochoric
part of the strain energy potential while the equivalent pressure is derived from the volumetric
part:
In this paper and as in our previous work, a third order Ogden model is used. For a compressible material, the Ogden strain energy function is expressed in terms of the principal stretches \( \lambda_i \) and \( J \):

\[
W(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, J) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{2\mu_i}{\alpha_i^2} \left[ \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} + \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} - 3 \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{D_i} (J - 1)^{2i}
\]

\( \mu_i, \alpha_i \) and \( D_i \) are material parameters.

From Eq.9 and Eq.10, the principal deviatoric stresses \( s_i \) and the equivalent pressure \( p \) are given by:

\[
s_i = \frac{2}{J} \operatorname{dev} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha_i} \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \]

\[
p = \sum_{i=1}^{2i} \frac{2i}{D_i} (J - 1)^{2i}
\]

As the main application of this work, is the thermoforming simulation of thin plastic sheet, the plane stress assumption is considered. In addition, the material is supposed to be incompressible. In this case, \( J=1 \), \( U(J)=0 \) and the equivalent pressure can be computed with the help of the plane stress assumption:

\[
\sigma_3 = s_1 - p = 0 \Rightarrow p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{2\mu_i}{\alpha_i} \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{2\mu_i}{\alpha_i} \lambda_i \lambda_2^{-\alpha_i}
\]

### 4.2 Transversely Isotropic hyperelasticity

The calendered plastic sheets exhibit a preferred direction and the material responses in the longitudinal and the transverse directions can be significantly different. Thus it is important to take into account this anisotropy as it can affect the quality of the final parts. The anisotropic hyperelastic behavior has been focused on during the last decades due to the great advances in biomechanical engineering and reinforced materials [10,12]. Several models have been developed using different physical or mathematical approaches [13, 17]. For our work, the pseudo fibers approach which consists in considering the material as a combination of an isotropic hyperelastic matrix and a transversely isotropic fibers contribution is the most appropriated. The isochoric part of the deformation energy potential is decomposed into an isotropic contributions \( \tilde{W}_{iso} \) and a transversely anisotropic contribution \( \tilde{W}_{rev} \):

\[
\tilde{W} = \tilde{W}_{iso} + \tilde{W}_{rev}
\]

The transversely isotropic part of the strain energy potential must meet some elementary requirement of material frame invariance. To outline these requirements, let \( a_0 \) to be the unit
directional vector of the pseudo-fibers. The orientation tensor \( A \) is defined in our case as:
\[
A = a_i \otimes a_i
\]  
(14)

\( \mathcal{W}_{trsv} \) should satisfy the following equation or any orthogonal transformations \( Q \).
\[
\mathcal{W}(\overline{B}) = \mathcal{W}(\overline{B}, A) = \mathcal{W}(Q\overline{B}Q^T, QAQ^T)
\]  
(15)

Consequently, \( \mathcal{W}_{trsv} \) should be a function of following pseudo-invariants:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_s = \text{tr}(\overline{B} : A) \\
I_4 = \text{tr}(\overline{B} : A^2) \\
I_8 = \text{tr}(\overline{B} : A^4)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(16)

To meet the material symmetries requirements, only the \( I_d \) pseudo invariant representing one family of pseudo fibers is used \( \mathcal{W}_{trsv} \) can then be expressed as:
\[
\mathcal{W}_{trsv} = \mathcal{W}_{trsv}(I_d)
\]  
(17)

From Eq.8, the pseudo fiber contribution to the deviatoric stress can be expressed as:
\[
s_{trsv} = 2J \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_{trsv}}{\partial I_d} \frac{\partial I_d}{\partial \overline{B}}
\]  
(18)

Where
\[
\frac{\partial I_d}{\partial \overline{B}} = a_i \otimes a_i
\]  
(19)

3 FINITE ELEMENT AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATIONS

In several works [18,21], only one material parameter is often adequate to properly model the fibers contribution and to predict the material behavior in different orientations. Using the same forms in our case led to several instability and lack of accuracy. To remedy these problems, we suggested multiparameter models in our previous works. Thus, the proposed model is an extension of a third order Ogden model and three \( C_i \) parameters are used to model the transversely isotropic part. The strain energy potential is written as follow:
\[
\overline{W}(\overline{\lambda_1}, \overline{\lambda_2}, \overline{\lambda_3}, I_4) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{2\mu_i}{\alpha_i^3} \left[ \frac{\alpha_i}{\overline{\lambda_i}} + \frac{\alpha_i}{\overline{\lambda_2}} + \frac{\alpha_i}{\overline{\lambda_3}} - 3 \right] + \sum_{p=1}^{3} C_p (I_4-1)^p
\]  
(20)

The material parameters are fitted to measurements data at different temperatures. The tensile tests are performed in the longitudinal, transverse and diagonal directions, respectively referred to in this paper as the 0°, 90° and 45° directions. On the other hand, considering the material behavior and the adopted energy form of the pseudo fibers contribution, the following fitting procedure is used:

i. The parameters of the isotropic part \( \mu_i \) and \( \alpha_i, i=1...3 \), are fitted the data from the 90°
direction test.
ii. The parameters of the transversely isotropic part $C_i$ are calibrated on the data from to the $0^\circ$ direction test.
iii. The data from the $45^\circ$ direction test is finally predicted and the identified parameters are checked.

The Ogden model was successfully implemented in Abaqus software using Vumat user subroutines as shown in Fig.1.

![Figure 1: Comparison between the predictions from the user material and those obtained with Abaqus.](image)

Besides, the tensile test specimen has a rectangular shape ($L=20\text{mm}$, $l=15\text{mm}$, $e=0.56\text{mm}$) and is modeled using a $5\times5$ quadratic 2D solid elements as shown in Fig.2.

![Figure 2: Mesh and specimen geometry](image)

The results of the material constants fitting to experimental data at the 45°C, 70°C, 90°C and 120°C temperatures are illustrated in Fig.3 to Fig.5. It’s worth noting the very high stretch ranges that were reached during the hot tensile tests (up to 700% at 120°C). Although, good results are obtained and the use of an Ogden model is justified. In fact, unlike other well known models (Mooney-Rivlin, Neo Hook, Yeoh…etc) the Ogden model offers a high flexibility concerning the response shape on one hand, and provides a higher stability especially when incompressibility condition is taken into account on the other.

The identification of the isotropic part parameters shows very good agreement with the
experimental data. A small error is noticeable at the beginning of the deformation (10 mm of the total displacement) at the different temperatures. Yet the identification results are good enough.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3**: Comparison between the predictions from the best fit of $\mu_i$ and $\alpha_i$ and the measurements from the 90° direction test

The results of the calibration of the transversely isotropic parameters according to the 0° orientation are illustrated in Fig.4.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4**: Comparison between the predictions from the best fit of $C_i$ and the measurements from the 0° direction test
In a general, good results are obtained at the different temperatures even at very high stretch ranges. The same error is observed at the beginning of the deformation. Finally, the results of the prediction of the 45° orientation are illustrated in Fig.5. The predictions are in good agreement with the measurements.

![Figure 5: Comparison between the predictions from the best fit of $\mu_i$, $\alpha_i$ and $C_i$ and the measurements from the 45° direction test](image)

Furthermore, to illustrate the consistency of the inverse identification procedure, Fig.6 shows the evolution of the initial Young’s modulus with respect to the temperature due to the material softening.

![Figure 6: Evolution of the initial Young’s modulus with the temperature](image)
Hence, the main conclusion to be drawn from the material constants fitting procedure is the reliability of the proposed model and the convincing final results. Moreover, it is important to note that a very large number of iterations can be reached in some cases, while an accurate result is not assured. For more efficiency, the material parameters were investigated and their effect on the material response shape was studied.

Table 1 illustrates the signs of the material constants when a stable result is reached. When this constraint sign is prescribed, the iterations number decreases remarkably and the stability of the final result is assured.

### Table 1: Signs of obtained material parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$a_1$</th>
<th>$a_2$</th>
<th>$a_3$</th>
<th>$\mu_1$</th>
<th>$\mu_2$</th>
<th>$\mu_3$</th>
<th>$C_1$</th>
<th>$C_2$</th>
<th>$C_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sign</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, it is interesting to understand the effect of the $C_i$ transversely isotropic constants on the response shape. In fact, every parameter influences a portion of the response and controls the curve shape in that part. Thus, the following observations were verified and helped to significantly decrease the iterations number by avoiding completely random starting parameter sets.

i. $0 < C_1$ (MPa) < 0.1 and controls the initial part of the response (under 20% of total displacement) and influences the initial stiffness value.

ii. $-0.01 < C_2$ (MPa) < 0 and controls the region of the response (between 20% and 70% of the total displacement).

iii. $0 < C_3$ (MPa) < $10^{-4}$ and controls the final part of the response.

Fig. 7 illustrates an example of the $C_i$ constants calibration procedure. When the above constraints are imposed on the starting parameters set at the very first iteration, the $C_i$ constants can be easily calibrated, and the final result is generally very convincing.

**Figure 7:** Effects of the $C_i$ parameters on the response shape
Another key finding is the high sensitivity of the material parameters especially the $\alpha_i$ Ogden constants. Thus, to assure an accurate and stable result, it was essential to decrease the incrementations values in order to avoid a divergent calculation as shown in Fig. 8.

![Figure 8: Response shape sensitivity to the $\alpha_i$ parameters](image)

In a general, several difficulties were faced during the inverse identification procedure of the TPO material. Beyond accuracy and stability matters, investigation works have been conducted beforehand in order to reduce the iterations number and to assure a reliable result.

1 CONCLUSION

In this paper, the incompressible transversely isotropic hyperelastic behavior of a TPO material was investigated at different temperatures. A new form of the transversely isotropic strain energy form is proposed and proved to be very efficient whether in terms of accuracy or stability. In addition, we have carried out a study of the fitting of material constants to experimental data. This helped to considerably reduce the number of iterations and to enhance the accuracy of the final result.

Furthermore, numerical considerations have been taken into account to assure the calculations convergence, especially when incompressibility condition is considered.

As a perspective to this work, 3D image correlation techniques will be used to measure displacement fields during a thermoforming process. Thus, higher temperatures can be reached and technical difficulties during hot tensile test can be avoided and the identified parameters reliability can be checked.
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