

# EFFICIENT DISCONTINUOUS GALERKIN METHODS FOR UNDER-RESOLVED TURBULENT INCOMPRESSIBLE FLOWS

Niklas Fehn<sup>1</sup>, Wolfgang A. Wall<sup>1</sup> and Martin Kronbichler<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Computational Mechanics, Technical University of Munich,  
Boltzmannstr. 15, 85748 Garching, Germany,  
{fehn,wall,kronbichler}@lrm.mw.tum.de, <http://www.lrm.mw.tum.de>

**Keywords:** *incompressible Navier-Stokes, discontinuous Galerkin methods, large-eddy simulation, matrix-free implementation, high-performance computing*

We present robust and efficient high-order discontinuous Galerkin methods for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations based on a high-performance, matrix-free implementation. Our discontinuous Galerkin ( $L_2$ -conforming) discretization is based on the local Lax-Friedrichs flux for the convective term, the symmetric interior penalty method for the viscous term, and central fluxes for velocity-pressure coupling terms and uses mixed-order polynomials for velocity and pressure [1, 2]. This basic DG discretization, however, is not sufficiently robust for under-resolved, turbulent flows. To stabilize the DG discretization in the under-resolved regime our approach is based on consistent penalty terms enforcing the incompressibility constraint as well as interelement continuity of the velocity field in a weak sense [1, 3]. This discretization approach has been validated for several benchmark problems such as the Orr–Sommerfeld stability problem, the 3D Taylor–Green vortex problem, and turbulent channel flow [3]. For efficient time integration, our approach is based on projection methods with a mixed implicit/explicit formulation of viscous and convective terms, respectively. For high-order methods to be more efficient than low-order methods, an efficient matrix-free implementation exploiting sum-factorization techniques on quadrilateral/hexahedral elements is inevitable. Our matrix-free implementation is highly optimized for modern, cache-based computer architectures and uses vectorization over several elements to fully exploit the SIMD capabilities of modern CPU hardware [4, 5]. Outstanding performance characteristics of our implementation with a throughput of up to  $3.5 \cdot 10^7$  DoFs/sec/core for matrix-free operator evaluation are presented. The solution of linear systems of equations is based on state-of-the-art iterative methods using efficient matrix-free preconditioners and geometric multigrid smoothers that exhibit optimal computational complexity. By the example of the 3D Taylor–Green vortex problem, a detailed analysis of the efficiency of high-order methods for under-resolved turbulent flows is presented. Compared to performance results published within the last 5 years for high-order DG discretizations of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations [6], our approach achieves an improvement in computational costs by a factor of 10–100 for the same effective spatial resolution and Reynolds number.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] B. Krank, N. Fehn, W.A. Wall, and M. Kronbichler, A high-order semi-explicit discontinuous Galerkin solver for 3D incompressible flow with application to DNS and LES of turbulent channel flow, *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. 348, pp. 634–659, 2017.
- [2] N. Fehn, W.A. Wall, and M. Kronbichler, On the stability of projection methods for the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations based on high-order discontinuous Galerkin discretizations, *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. 351, pp. 392–421, 2017.
- [3] N. Fehn, W.A. Wall, and M. Kronbichler, Robust and efficient discontinuous Galerkin methods for under-resolved turbulent incompressible flows, arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.08103, 2018.
- [4] M. Kronbichler, K. Kormann, I. Pasichnyk, and M. Allalen, Fast Matrix-Free Discontinuous Galerkin Kernels on Modern Computer Architectures, *High Performance Computing*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 237–255, 2017.
- [5] M. Kronbichler and K. Kormann, Fast matrix-free evaluation of discontinuous Galerkin finite element operators, arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.03590, 2017
- [6] Z. J. Wang et al., High-order CFD Methods: Current Status and Perspectives, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, Vol. 72, pp. 811–845, 2013