

# ADAPTIVE SAMPLING CRITERIA FOR MULTI-FIDELITY METAMODELS IN CFD-BASED SHAPE OPTIMIZATION

Riccardo Pellegrini<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Serani<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Diez<sup>1</sup>, Jeroen Wackers<sup>2\*</sup>,  
Patrick Queutey<sup>2</sup>, and Michel Visonneau<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CNR-INSEAN, National Research Council-Marine Technology Research Institute, Via di Vallerano 139, 00128 Rome, Italy

{riccardo.pellegrini; andrea.serani}@insean.cnr.it; matteo.diez@cnr.it

<sup>2</sup> LHEEA Lab, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, CNRS-UMR 6598, 44321 Nantes Cedex 3, France  
{jeroen.wackers; patrick.queutey; michel.visonneau}@ec-nantes.fr

**Key words:** *Shape Optimization, Adaptive Grid Refinement, Adaptive Sampling, Multi-Fidelity Metamodels, RANS*

Complex industrial applications demand the use of high-fidelity CFD solvers with large computational grids to assess accurately the hydrodynamic performance and make reliable design decisions. The latter can be achieved by combining the CFD analysis with a shape/design modification tool and a minimization algorithm into an automatic simulation-based design optimization (SBDO) procedure. The use of high-fidelity computationally-expensive CFD analyses can make the SBDO unaffordable for most users and projects for which limited computational resources and time are usually available. Metamodels have been developed and widely used to reduce the computational cost of the SBDO in many engineering areas. A further reduction of the computational cost can be achieved through multi-fidelity metamodels. These combine the accuracy of high-fidelity simulations with the computational cost of low-fidelity simulations. Different fidelity levels are given by the physical model (e.g. RANS and potential flow) and/or by the computational grid size. One of the major challenge is the definition of an appropriate multi-fidelity training set able to represent efficiently the desired response. The paper presents a study on adaptive sampling criteria for multi-fidelity metamodeling for CFD-based shape optimization. Four adaptive sampling criteria are assessed in terms of efficiency and effectiveness in representing the desired response [1]. The proposed sampling criteria are based on i) prediction uncertainty, ii) design performance expected improvement, iii) prediction uncertainty and design performance combined in an aggregate function, and iv) uncertainty and performance with a multi-objective approach. The study is performed for the shape optimization of a NACA four-digit hydrofoil [2], using a RANS solver which performs multi-fidelity simulations through two levels of adaptive grid refinement [3].

**Acknowledgements.** The work is supported by the US Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Global, NICOP grant N62909-15-1-2016, administered by Dr. Woei-Min Lin, Dr. Salahuddin Ahmed, and Dr. Ki-Han Kim, and by the Italian Flagship Project RITMARE, funded by the Italian Ministry of Education.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Pellegrini, R., Leotardi, C., Iemma, U., Campana, E. F., and Diez, M., Multi-fidelity adaptive global metamodel of expensive computer simulations, *In Proc. of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC), Vancouver, BC, 24-29 July 2016*, pp. 4444–4451.
- [2] Ploé, P., Lanos, R., Visonneau, M., Wackers, J., Bayesian strategies for simulation based optimisation and response surface creation using a single tool. Application to hydrofoil optimisation, *In Proc. of 7th International Conference on Computational Methods in Marine Engineering (MARINE), Nantes, France, 15-17 May 2017*.
- [3] Wackers, J., Deng, G., Guilmineau, E., Leroyer, A., Queutey, P., Visonneau, M., Palmieri, A. and Liverani, A., Can adaptive grid refinement produce grid-independent solutions for incompressible flows?, *Journal of Computational Physics*, **344**, pp. 364-380.